

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/656,669	KONDAS, SHAWN J.
	Examiner DHIRU R. PATEL	Art Unit 2831

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) DHIRU R. PATEL.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen Horchem.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claimd 1, 5 and 9*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claims 1, 5 are being revised to replace "both" with --, said electrical connector--, claim 9 being revised to delete "both" and put the case in condition for allowance.,*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Dhiru R Patel*

**DHIRU R. PATEL**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

*2/21/05*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)